c 8.1001 — SINGLE BENCH (1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR CHHATTISGARH

3

WRIT PETITION NO. 1284/2005

Kailash YadavS/o Kamaldev Yadav,
Aged about 43 years,
Presently working as Security Guard,
Open Caste Mines, Sub Division,
Bishrampur,
R/o- Kumda Colony, Tehsil- Surajpur,
Distt Surguja,
Chhattisgarh. **PETITIONER**

VERSUS

1. Union of India,
Through-Secretary,
Ministry of Coal and Mines,
New Delhi.

2. Coal India Ltd,
Through its Chairman,
Garden Reach Kolkatta,
West Bengal.

P.R. No. 1270/05
Presented by Shri Rahul Tiwari
dated 23-03-05

3. South Eastern Coal Fields Ltd.,
Through its CMD,
Seepat Road Bilaspur,
Bilaspur CG.

4. General Manager,
SECL (OCM) Bishrampur,
Distt. Surguja,
Chhattisgarh.

5. Sub Area Manager,
SECL (OCM),
Pokharia Mines, Bishrampur,
Distt. Surguja,
Chhattisgarh

RESPONDENTS

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE ORDER(S)/DIRECTION(S)

THAKUR VIJAY SINGH
Asst. Solicitor General of India
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT
High Court Campus, Bilaspur (C.G.)
23.3.05.

1. **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER.**

As mentioned in the cause title.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

22

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 1284/05 **सन् 200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

5.4.2005

Shri Rahul Mishra, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri R.S. Baghel Addl Central Govt. Standing Counsel for Union of India.

The petitioner's case is that on 19.11.1984 he was appointed as General Mazdoor in S.E.C.L, Vishrampur, District Sarguja. In the year 1986 he was promoted as Category-I and thereafter, the petitioner was transferred to Security Department. Further case of the petitioner is that respondents are still treating the petitioner as temporary/ad-hoc employee therefore, he is not being promoted and still working as Security Guard, whereas other similarly situated persons have been promoted and they are working as Head Security Guard.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is entitled for regularization and consequential promotions. He further submits that in the facts and circumstances of the case petitioner may be allowed to make a representation raising all his grievances to respondent No.4 and respondent No.4 be directed to decide his representation in accordance with law.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances to respondent No.4 within ten days from today and in turn respondent No.4 is directed to decide the representation made by the petitioner within three months from the date of receipt of the same in accordance with law after considering relevant records and grievances of the petitioner.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

In view of this order, I.A. No.2471/05 also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
L.C.Bhadoo
Judge

(नीचे पढ़िये)